Research Students	From Each University	25 x 2	50
Teachers	From Each University	25 x 2	50
Agricultural Scientists	From Each University	15 x 2	30
Non-Agricultural Users	From Each University	25 x 2	50
		Total:-	**580**

Total 580 questionnaires sent to users for comparative analysis which will be based on the statistics data. We expect to receive at least 70 percent responses from the users. After receiving responses, data will be analyzed by using group frequency method which is a recognized standard research method.

Utility:-

1. It is a suitable method in pre planning and in pursuit of present research work.
2. It is a community and group based method.
3. It studies the present condition of research.
4. Maximum data can be collected by using this method.
5. This leads individual information to general by using sampling in this method.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, J.R. and Ostvoid, H. 1998; Literature of Agricultural Research. University of California Press.123, 5; 199-201.
2. Akhilesh, kumar. 1997-1999; Survey of Indian Agro-Bio-economic and allied literature, A bibliography. 1999. Agricole Publishing Academy, New Dellhi. V3. P.939.

3. Arnzen, Anne. 1999; Reading habits of children and adolescents: a small survey in Askin Library. Bok or Bibliothek. 47,4; 288-289.

4. Baruah, Meera. 1990; A comparatively study of the readers use of North eastern hill Universities and Guahati Universities Libraries. International Library Movement. 3(243); 261-282.

5. Batten, W.E. March,1993; User research and its applications-current problems. Eurim.; 157-158.

6. Beherend, Ginter G. Jan.2010; Considerations on user research at the university library. Zentlb. Bibliothek. 93,1; 23-24.

7. Bergmann, Helmuth. 2002; The library and its users as exemplified by Vienna University. Biblos. 34,4; 265-283.

8. Brosman, Patricia. Sept.2007; Students use of the school. Library and attitude towards: a study of third formers in four melborne technical schools. Aust sch. Librn. 13,3; 71-85.

9. Carpenter, Ray I. Feb.2004; The public library pattorn. Libr.Journals 104,3; 347-351.

10. Chopra, Hansraj. Sept.1996; Reading habits of the members of the Pubjab University Library. Indian Librarian. 31,2; 87-92.

11. Emdad, Ali Akbar. 2002; Survey of Library utilization by the students of Pahlavi University, Shiraz.

12. Evans, Charles. Jan.1995; A History of community analysis in American Librarianship. Library Trends. 24, 3; 441-457.

13. Fajalibrant, Nancy. 2005; Library user education and the effectiveness of library performance. 67-76.

14. Fisher, R.K. Oct.2006; University extra mural students and reading studies in adult education. 9,2; 197-204.

15. Gupta, A. 1996; Quantative improvement on library services through users study. Indian library conference; 103-109.

16. Gohler, Helma. March,2008; Use of library and library materials. Bebliothekar. 32,6; 193-499.

17. Harbo, Ole. 1995; The public library and the population. Bogens verden. 61,8; 367-369.

18. Knudsen, Pia. 2007;Are the Libraries alive? Bibliothek. 70,22; 657-659.

19. Livington, B.D. 1994; The use of various types of literature sources by technical specialist: some results of a repeated questionnaire survey. Nauch-Tech Information Services.15; 21-26.

20. Madden, Michael. Feb.2003; Library user/nouser life stules. American Librarianship. 10. 2; 78-81.

21. Mallen, Marie and Pitrat, Marie. August.1991; Surveys of users needs and analysis of users behavious. Documentalist. 13,4; 142-150.

22. Martin, Lowell A. Jan.1990; User Studies and library planning. Library Trends. 24.3; 483-496

23. Massey, Morris E. Jan.1997; Market analysis and audience research of libraries. Library Trends. 24,3; 473-481.

24. Miranda, Antonio. 2005; A comparative study of libraries for post graduate courses in education in Brazil; 94.

25. Nyeng, Per. 2010; Almost 40% of the Danish Population use Libraries. Bebliotek. 70,8; 197-199.

26. Onadiran, G.T. and Onadiran, R.W. 2010; Users of public library services in Nigeria. Indian Librarian. 35,1; 27-30.

27. Port, Jane S. April. 2002; The mount Sinai medical center Library user survey. Bulletin of Library Medical Association. 65,2; 289-290.

28. Ramanna, B. 2003; User evaluation of NICFOSS Services. Library Science Documentation. 22,3; 141-152.

29. Sabine, Gorden A. and Sabine, Patricial. Oct.2003; Research notes: how people use books and Journals. Library Quarterly. 56,4; 399-408.

30. Samimi, Mehrangeez. 1996; Library use and academic research in Iran in the Sciences, Social Sciences and humanities. Shiraz; 68.

31. Sani, S.S. 1997; Evaluation of reference service provided by the Jammu University Library: A user survey. All India Library Conference; 280-286.

32. Steenstrup, Hjel Resen. 1992; Bording Parish; A Local Investigation and its Library Prespectives. Bogens Verden. 50,3; 112-114.

33. Sutter, E. 2000; Survey of users of an Information center Documentaliste. 15,5-6; 17-21.

34. Wolosz, Jon. 2005; American Public Libraries in the light of the Gallup Survey. Bebliotek. 45,5; 121-124.

35. Meister, Heinrich. 2009; The influence of the field of study on user behaviors in academic libraries, Bibliothek. 21; 33-60.

36. Moss, G.P. and Green A.M.W. April 2009; Student opinion o the services of a University library. Aslib Proceeding. 32,4; p 161-166.

Signature of the Research Scholar
(Patil Tushar Malharrao)
Regd.No.4410011

Signature of the Guide/Supervisor
(Dr. Shamakant J. Deshmukh)